

MBT & ISTQB CHALLENGES

YOUR SOFTWARE TESTING AND TRAINING SPECIALISTS

Bernard Homès, CTAL (full), M.Sc. CIS Senior Consultant



- Software Testing instructor ISTQB (FL+AL), REQB, IREB
- Product & Project Quality Assistance services
- International experience in Critical SW Development & Testing
 - Space systems, Airborne systems, Banking, Telecoms, Health, ...
- Author of 2 books, and 30+ articles:
 - "Les Tests Logiciels : fondamentaux" (ISBN 978-2-7462-3155-9)
 - "Fundamentals of software testing" (ISBN: 978-1-8482-1324-1)
- □ Founder and Principal : TESSCO sas.
- President : CFTL French Software Testing Board
- Senior Member IEEE
 - Member: ECSS, IEC, AST, ...
- Presenter & University Teacher
 - Over 40 keynotes and tutorials on 5 continents, ...
 - École des Mines Paris, HEC, ENST, University Poitiers, ... HEC



CFTL



- Industry acceptance
 - Dispelling illusions
 - Proofs, Evidences, ...
 - Common language
- Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation
 - Return on Investment, granularity
- Spreading knowledge
 - Training & Certification

Industry challenges Dispelling illusions ...

- Major challenges to both ISTQB and MBT
 - Quicker : how can I finish my testing faster ?
 - Easy: either test early or don't test
 - Cheaper : why is testing so expensive ?
 - Easy: don't look for defects, don't fix the defects found Beware: it will be more expensive in the end

Better

Not possible if the two other axis remain constant

□ Fact : we all have illusions about testing (among others)



Industry challenges Current status ...



- Current status of testing :
 - Technology : quickly evolving complex (mobile devices, etc.)
 - Solution: test early, automate (but what?) or limit scope (is it realistic?)
 - Time : unrealistic schedules and scope
 - Solution: test early (static testing) or limit scope (is it realistic?)
 - Money : defects cost money, avoid defects introduction
 - Solution: training and cross-training
 - For developers, designers, managers, customers and ... testers
 - Other techniques such as Agile
 - Sometimes more reactive, seldom efficient, neither quick, nor cheap
- □ How can we remove (y)our illusions ?

Industry challenges Technology and Methodology ... Explosion





Industry challenges Proof & Evidences ...



- - Evidences are available & referenced in ISTQB
 - In standards (IEEE, ISO, etc.)
 - In publications (e.g.; C. Jones, Chaos reports, etc.)
 - Some references are available for MBT
 - In standards (ETSI, formal notations such as UML & BPMN...)
 - In publications (e.g.; H. Buwalda, B. Legeard, etc.)
 - □ Are theses accepted in the industry ?
 - What proof / evidences do we have ?
 - Will it work in your environment ?

Industry challenges Common language



- Common definitions are hard to find:
 - What is a "test plan" ?
 - A list of actions or a description of some test strategy ?
 - A false-negative or a false-positive ?
 - A common glossary is needed :
 - For software testing an ISTQB Glossary exists (ongoing work in progress)
- Common certification
 - Worldwide acceptance
 - ISTQB Syllabus (FL+AL+EL)
- ightarrow Promotes common understanding and limits explosion



- Industry acceptance
 - Dispelling illusions
 - Proofs, Evidences, ...
 - Common language
- Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation
 - Return on Investment, granularity
- Spreading knowledge
 - Training & Certification

Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation Industry : One size fits all



□ NO:

One size does <u>NOT</u> fit all (unfortunately)

- □ We are all different:
 - Each company has its own context and challenges
 - Benefits will vary or could even be non-existant
 - A tool (even an MBT-tool) is not a substitute for a brain
- → We must identify <u>OUR OWN</u> reasons for MBT

Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation Return on Investment challenges



- Is the solution good enough ? (Effectiveness)
 Ability to find all the defects using the technique
- □ Is the solution cheap enough ? (Efficiency)
 - Ability to find the defects using the least effort possible
- □ Is the solution all we need ? (Scope)
 - Is the solution complete, did we miss anything?
 - Do we need other techniques, methods, etc. ?

Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation ROI challenges : Effectiveness



- 12
- Is the solution effective ?
 - Can we find <u>all</u> the defects using the technique ?
 - What about gaps in functional coverage ?
 - Are all techniques implemented, how are they selected?
- Do we have evidences supporting our claim?
 - Do we have numbers, statistics, etc. ?

Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation ROI challenges : Efficiency



- Is the solution cheap enough ?
 - Can we find defects with the <u>least effort</u> possible
 - Do the tools help prevent defects?
 - It's cheaper than to create and remove them.
- What about early testing, static testing, reviews?
 - These have been confirmed as most efficient methods
 - Measuring / anticipating the number of defects to find

Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation ROI challenges : Completeness



- 14
- □ Is the solution <u>enough</u>?
 - Did we miss anything?
 - In terms of testing categories (functional vs. non-functional)
 - In terms of defects prevention and process improvement
 - Do we need other techniques, methods, etc. ?
 - Independent testers
 - Focusing on "important" tests, but what "is" important ?
 - Do we know how many defects are still in the software ?

Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation ROI challenges : Evidences ?



15

□ Do we have proof ?

Are there statistically valid samples and measurement ?

Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation How to select the correct tool(s) ?

16



- ISTQB suggestions (from ISTQB Foundation syllabus)
 - Organizational Testing Maturity evaluation
 - To identify where the highest benefit will occur
 - Proof of concept in YOUR environment
 - To make sure the tool fits your needs
 - Evaluation of vendor (training, support, etc.) as well as of the tool (benefits vs. costs, internal & external, etc.)
 - Pilot project using the selected tool / technology

Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation Can one tool fit all your needs ?



- Granularity
 - Why would ONE tool fit all your needs ?
 - Most likely you will need multiple tools:
 - Requirements management
 - Traceability to test conditions and test cases + execution
 - Defect management, reporting, etc.
 - And of course MBT tools ③
- → This means that your implementation will be specific, and ... so will any benefit.

Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation The ISTQB implementation



- 18
- Provides multiple techniques, solutions and measures
 EP, BVA, DT, STT, RCA, FMEA, ...
 - DDP, closure rate, defect aggregates, ...
- Highlight the need for adaptation & management
 - Test Planning & Control, Measurement, etc.
 - Test Closure activities with "lessons learned"
- → Allow multiple, different, testing implementations fitted to your specific (customer's) environment and goals



Major Challenges :

- Industry acceptance
 - Dispelling illusions
 - Proofs, Evidences, ...
 - Common language
- Industrial vs. Ad Hoc implementation
 - Return on Investment, granularity
- Spreading knowledge
 - Training & Certification

Spreading the knowledge Why and how ?



- □ A major challenge ...
 - To make the industry aware of this technique
 - Advantages
 - By using similar terms & languages users will be able to compare the tools and benefits
 - Drawbacks
 - Commercial tools vendors may focus on their own solutions, leaving customers more bewildered than satisfied

Spreading the knowledge About testing ...



- Current status
 - ISTQB and national boards such as CFTL
 - Common glossary, and Syllabus, career paths
 - Reach industry, managers, universities and end-users
 - Non profit associations
 - Certifications and localization
 - Outlook :
 - Very slow progress, but ... improving (300.000+ certifications)
 - Syllabus translated in French, German, Spanish, etc.
 - New syllabi arriving (incl. about Test Automation and about MBT)

Spreading the knowledge About MBT ...



- Current status
 - Local initiatives
 - TTCN-3 (Germany and telecom industry)
 - No coordinated activities worldwide
 - Non profit associations
 - No Certifications yet
 - Outlook:
 - There are still many small to very small actors
 - Need some level of standardization



Spreading the knowledge Knowledge Base and Certification ...



23

- Current status
 - ISTQB is coordinating an MBT FL-AddOn syllabus
 - To raise awareness of MBT in the industry
 - To provide some level of standardization and common glossary
 - Trainings will be available on MBT
 - TPs will provide specific trainings
 - Outlook:
 - Certification (ISTQB-FL level, future AL level possible)
 - Should be available within the next 2 years
 - Increase of awareness by all actors.



Major Challenges :

- ☑ Industry acceptance
 - Dispelling illusions
 - Proofs, Evidences, ...
 - Common language
- ☑ Industrial is ASHOC implementation
 ☐ © In on Investment, granularity
- ☑ Spreading knowledge
 - Training & Certification

Major Challenges, conclusions: Similar challenges



- Definition of simple, common terminology
 - Is it possible if you are business driven ?
 - Creation of ISTQB helped
- □ Identification of clear boundaries, or else ...
 - "One size fits all" does not work ... find what does
 - A layered solution, common reporting framework ?
- Identify your targets, customers and users
 - MBT seems to be for mature industries
 - A proven solution or a set of proven solutions ?
- □ Don't be a "sect", be inclusive, not exclusive

Major Challenges, conclusions: Different challenges



- □ Market size and organization
 - MBT is only <u>one</u> part of the Testing market addressed by ISTQB
- □ Follow a clear process (remember, it is slow)
 - 1. Become better known to your current and future stakeholders
 - 2. Always challenge your knowledge and your solution to improve
 - 3. Provide clear evidence to convince
 - 4. Start again at 1
- □ It is a long term endeavor
 - ISTQB started more than 10 years ago and we have not finished

Domains of Expertise :

- Aerospace
- Airborne systems
- Systems-of-Systems
- Banking
- Telecom

Thank you – Merci

Your Software Testing & Training Specialists

TESSCO sas, Espace CHANCEL, 83160 La Valette du Var, France

Office: +33 494 130 194 – Cell.: +33 612 252 636

bhomes@tesscogroup.com